DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 459 529 EA 031 479

AUTHOR Gray, Karen; Sinclair, Beth

TITLE Report on State Implementation of the Gun-Free Schools Act:

School Year 1998-99. Final. [Report].

INSTITUTION Westat, Inc., Rockville, MD.

SPONS AGENCY Department of Education, Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 2000-10-00

NOTE 89p.; For School Year 1998-99 Final Report, see ED 433 599.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive

(141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Elementary Secondary Education; Expulsion; *Federal State

Relationship; Government Publications; *Gun Control;

Punishment; Referral; *School Safety; Tables (Data); Weapons

IDENTIFIERS Gun Free Schools Act 1994

ABSTRACT

The Gun Free Schools Act (GFSA) requires states to report information about the implementation of the act annually to the Secretary of Education. This report starts by presenting information on data interpretation and quality. Three sections summarize the 1998-99 data submitted by the states. The first section is a brief summary of the overall findings. The second section presents a summary of the 1998-99 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as a comparison between the 1998-99 and 1997-98 data. The tables in this section contain data notes that are critical to the correct interpretation of the data. The third section presents a page for each state, each of which contains the data submitted by the state, as well as any caveats or data notes. Appendix A contains a copy of the Gun-Free Schools Act, and Appendix B is a copy of the 1998-99 GFSA state data-collection instrument. This publication is not designed to report the rate at which students carry firearms to school but instead reports the actions taken in regard to the number of students caught bringing firearms to schools. (RT)



Report on State Implementation of the Gun-Free Schools Act

School Year 1998-99

Final October 2000

Prepared under contract by:

Westat Rockville, MD U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.





Report on State Implementation of the Gun-Free Schools Act – School Year 1998-99

Final October 2000

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Education

Prepared by:

Karen Gray Beth Sinclair Westat Rockville, MD



Report on State Implementation of the Gun-Free Schools Act - School Year 1998-99

Introduction

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) requires that each state receiving federal funds under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) have a state law that requires all local educational agencies (LEAs) in the state to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm to school. (See Appendix A for a copy of the GFSA.) State laws must also authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the GFSA states that it must be construed so as to be consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The GFSA requires states to report information about the implementation of the act annually to the Secretary of Education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the GFSA, the Department of Education (the Department) requires each state to submit an annual report that provides:

- The number of students expelled (by type of firearm and school level),
- The number of expulsions that were modified on a case-by-case basis,
- The number of modified cases that were not for students with disabilities, and
- The number of expelled students who were referred to an alternative school or program.

For the remainder of this report, the term "states" refers to the 56 jurisdictions (states and territories) covered under the Gun-Free Schools Act. Puerto Rico did not submit any GFSA data for the 1998-99 reporting year. In addition, Mississippi has not provided a final verification of their 1998-99 data.

Organization of the Report

Following information on data interpretation and quality, this report is divided into three sections and summarizes the 1998-99 data submitted by the states. The first section is a brief summary of the overall findings. The second section presents a summary of the 1998-99 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as a comparison between the 1998-99 and 1997-98 data. The tables in this section contain data notes that are critical to the correct interpretation of the data. The third section presents a page for each state. Each of these pages contains the data submitted by the state, as well as any caveats or data notes accompanying the data. Finally, there are two appendices to the report – Appendix A contains a copy of the Gun-Free Schools Act and a copy of the 1998-99 GFSA state data collection instrument can be found in Appendix B.

Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings

The information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. First, as noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state pages, some states attached caveats and data notes to their data that should be considered when interpreting the data. This is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are made up of data that are not necessarily comparable from state to state in all cases. Second, some states submitted aggregate data that were not broken out by school level and/or by type of weapon. The expulsions for these states are included in the overall summary totals but are not included in the



Gun-Free Schools Act – 1998-99 Report

figures by type of firearm or by school level. This means that the total number of reported expulsions differs for each questionnaire item summarized in this report.

Finally, this report is not designed to provide information to the reader regarding the rate at which students carry firearms to school. The data summarized in this report relates to actions taken in regard to the number of students caught bringing firearms to schools.

Data Collection and Verification

Westat, under contract to the Department, collected the data from each state department of education. In order to ensure that the data are reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

- As each survey was received, it was reviewed for accuracy and entered into a database.
- In approximately 10 cases, Westat contacted the state to obtain a correction
 or clarification of the submitted data. For example, the data provider was
 contacted if the submitted forms were not internally consistent, if the rows
 and/or columns did not add to the printed totals, or if the 1998-99 data
 represented a large change from the data reported for 1997-98.
- Once Westat received all of the data, all states were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by fax. As a result of the verification process, several states also revised their 1997-98 data. States revising their 1997-98 data were asked to re-submit information on all data items, rather than just aggregate figures.

Summary of Findings

- Overall, 55 states reported under the GFSA for the 1998-99 school year.
 These states reported that they expelled a total of 3,523 students from school for bringing in a firearm¹ to school. One state however, reported data for total expulsions for <u>all</u> weapons, and therefore the figures reported by this state may overestimate the actual expulsions under the GFSA.
- Fifty-four states reported the number of students expelled by school level. Fifty-seven percent of the expulsions by school level were students in high school, 33 percent were in junior high, and 10 percent were in elementary school. (See Table 2)
- Fifty-four states reported the number of students expelled by type of firearm. Fifty-nine percent of the expulsions reported by type of firearm were for bringing a handgun to school. Twelve percent of the expulsions were for bringing a rifle or shotgun to school, and 29 percent were for some other type of firearm (such as bombs, grenades, or starter pistols). (See Table 3)
- Fifty-one states reported on expulsions that were shortened to less than one year. In these states, 27 percent of expulsions were shortened to less than one year. (See Table 5)
- Fifty-one states reported on the disability status of students receiving shortened expulsions. In these states, 72 percent of shortened expulsions were for students who were <u>not</u> considered disabled. (See Table 6)
- In the 49 states reporting data on alternative placements, 44 percent of the expelled students in these states were referred to an alternative school or placement. (See Table 7)

See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm.



Page 2


Expulsions for Bringing a Firearm to School - Overview

Overall, 55 states provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing a firearm to school, for a total of 3,523 expulsions. California, Georgia, New York, and Texas were the only states with greater than 200 expulsions. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students, Alabama had the highest number of expulsions per 1,000 students. Refer to Table 1 for more detailed information on the data provided by the individual states and the appropriate data caveats.

School Level

ifty-four states provided data on their expulsions by school level.² Over 95 percent of all reported expulsions were reported by school level (3,371 of 3,523).

Of these 3,371 expulsions, over half (1,921 or 57 percent) were students in senior high schools, 33 percent (1,109) were students in junior high, and 10 percent (341) were elementary school students. (See Figure 1 and Table 2)



Senior high school - A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.



Gun-Free Schools Act - 1998-99 Report


²Elementary school - A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.

Junior high school - A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.

Type of Firearm

Fifty-four states provided data that differentiated the type of firearm brought to school by students. Over 95 percent of all reported expulsions were reported by type of firearm (3,371 of 3,523).

Of these 3,371 expulsions, 59 percent (1,991) involved handguns, 12 percent (418) involved rifles or shotguns, and the remaining 29 percent (962) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades, starter pistols, and rockets). (See Figure 2 and Table 3)



Year to Year Changes - 1997-98 to 1998-99

All but one state submitted data for both 1997-98 and 1998-99. However, in several of these states, the data are not comparable from across the two years, primarily because of changes in reporting. In addition, eleven states — Alaska, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Louisiana, Maryland, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Washington, and the Virgin Islands — revised the data they submitted for 1997-98. As a result, the total number of expulsions reported for 1997-98 differs from figures previously published in the 1997-98 Gun-Free Schools Act Report.

Among the 55 states which submitted data for both 1997-98 and 1998-99, the number of students expelled for bringing a firearm to school decreased by 4 percent, from 3,658 (revised from originally published figures) to 3,523. (See the notes on Table 4 for further information on year-to-year comparability for individual states.)

Shortened Expulsions and Students with Disabilities


The GFSA allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the expulsion to less than one year). The purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that the IDEA and GFSA requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion shortened, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.



Shortened Expulsions

Fifty-one states reported the number of students whose expulsions were shortened to less than one year as part of the case-by-case review process.


Of the 3,149 expulsions in these states 855 (or 27 percent) were shortened to less than one year. (See Figure 3 and Table 5)



Disability Status of Students with Shortened Expulsions

ifty-one states reported on the disability status of the students with shortened expulsions. These were the same 51 states that reported information on shortened expulsions.

Of the 855 students, whose expulsion was shortened, 613 (72 percent) were not considered disabled under section 602(a)(1) of IDEA. (See Figure 4 and Table 6)







1 - 1 A 1 - 2 - 2

Referrals

he GFSA has in place provisions that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Forty-nine states reported information for this data item, and among these states 1,373 students (44 percent) were referred for an alternative placement. (See Figure 5 and Table 7)





Data notes:

The percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

See the detailed caveats on Table 7 for additional information regarding these data.

The figures shown in this graph are based on data reported by 49 states.



Table 1Number of students expelled for GFSA violations per 1,000 students of the school-age population, 1998-99

| State | Number of students expelled in 1998-99 | School-age population 1998 | Expelled students per 1,000 of popul. | Data Caveats |
|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Alabama | 174 | 748,303 | 0.233 | Julia Garrage |
| Alaska | 24 | 135,443 | 0.233 | |
| Arizona | 101 | 848,545 | 0.119 | |
| Arkansas | 66 | 452,452 | 0.146 | |
| California | 290 | 5,929,296 | 0.049 | |
| Colorado | 94 | 699,488 | 0.134 | The figures reported for 1998-99 include expulsions for ALL weapons, not just firearms. |
| Connecticut | 11 | 545,037 | 0.020 | |
| Delaware | 9 | 113,365 | 0.079 | |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 71,976 | 0.181 | |
| Florida | 94 | 2,339,114 | 0.040 | |
| Georgia | 208 | 1,401,879 | 0.148 | |
| Hawaii | 5 | 188,195 | 0.027 | |
| Idaho | 31 | 244,804 | 0.127 | |
| Illinois | 77 | 2,012,938 | 0.038 | |
| Indiana | 103 | 988,862 | 0.104 | |
| Iowa | 17 | 498,491 | 0.034 | |
| Kansas | 52 | 472,594 | 0.110 | |
| Kentucky | 37 | 656,057 | 0.056 | - |
| Louisiana | 21 | 769,186 | 0.027 | _ |
| Maine | 6 | 210,638 | 0.028 | |
| Maryland | 31 | 842,326 | 0.037 | |
| Massachusetts | 43 | 962,974 | 0.045 | |
| Michigan | 106 | 1,721,362 | 0.062 | |
| Minnesota | 24 | 855,699 | 0.028 | |
| Mississippi | 24 | 502,591 | 0.048 | |
| Missouri | 171 | 913,047 | 0.187 | |
| Montana | 15 | 160,082 | 0.094 | - |
| Nebraska | 15 | 291,376 | 0.051 | |
| Nevada | 52 | 311,132 | 0.167 | |
| New Hampshire | 11 | 204,861 | 0.054 | - |
| New Jersey | 51 | 1,269,897 | 0.040 | |
| New Mexico | 47 | 328,935 | 0.143 | - |
| New York | 206 | 2,879,067 | 0.072 | |
| North Carolina | 141 | 1,255,371 | 0.112 | |
| North Dakota | 3 | 114,657 | 0.026 | |
| Ohio | 77 | 1,843,550 | 0.042 | |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 628,669 | 0.025 | |
| Oregon | 48 | 543,136 | 0.088 | |
| Pennsylvania | 145 | 1,818,403 | 0.080 | |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 154,915 | 0.026 | |
| South Carolina | 52 | 664,908 | 0.078 | |
| South Dakota | 9 | 132,586 | 0.068 | - |
| Tennessee | 152 | 905,955 | 0.168 | |
| Texas | 294 | 3,946,696 | 0.168 | |
| Utah | 13 | | | |
| Vermont | 3 | 481,259 | 0.027 | |
| Virginia | 115 | 105,221 | 0.029 | |
| virginia | 115 | 1,124,613 | 0.102 | |



Table 1 (cont'd)

| State | Number of students expelled in 1998-99 | School-age population 1998 | Expelled students per 1,000 of popul. | Data Caveats |
|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Washington | 115 | 998,549 | 0.115 | |
| West Virginia | 20 | 297,689 | 0.067 | |
| Wisconsin | 71 | 880,615 | 0.081 | - |
| Wyoming | 11 | 95,284 | 0.115 | |
| American Samoa | 0 | 15,372 | 0.000 | |
| Guam | 5 | 32,222 | 0.155 | |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 9,498 | 0.000 | |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 20,976 | 0.000 | |
| Total | 3,523 | 46,640,156 | 0.076 | |
| Number of states rep | porting: | 55 | | |

Data Notes:

Puerto Rico did not submit 1998-99 GFSA data.

The school-age population figures are children aged 5 to 17and include both public and private school students. For the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the other outlying areas, the figures are for 1998.



Table 2Number of students expelled for GFSA violations, by school level, 1998-99

| | | School Level | | | |
|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------|---|
| State | Elementary | Junior-High | Senior-High | Total | Data Caveats |
| Alabama | 52 | 69 | 53 | 174 | |
| Alaska | 0 | 6 | 18 | 24 | |
| Arizona | 15 | 37 | 49 | 101 | |
| Arkansas | 5 | 30 | 31 | 66 | |
| California | 29 | 93 | 168 | 290 | |
| Colorado | 17 | 34 | 43 | 94 | The figures reported for 1998-99 include expulsions for ALL weapons, not just firearms. |
| Connecticut | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 | |
| Delaware | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 3 | 10 | 13 | |
| Florida | 5 | 32 | 57 | 94 | |
| Georgia | 18 | 80 | 110 | 208 | |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | |
| daho | 2 | 5 | 24 | 31 | |
| Ilinois | 7 | 23 | 47 | 77 | |
| Indiana | 8 | 24 | 71 | 103 | |
| lowa | 0 | 2 | 15 | 17 | |
| lowa Kansas | 8 | 13 | 31 | 52 | |
| | 2 | 11 | 24 | 37 | _ |
| Kentucky | | | 12 | 21 | |
| _ouisiana | MD | 9 | | | _ |
| Maine | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | - |
| Maryland | 0 | 5 | 26 | 31 | |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 15 | 28 | 43 | |
| Michigan | 4 | 39 | 63 | 106_ | |
| Minnesota | 1 | 5 | 18 | _24 | |
| Mississippi | 0 | 7 | 17 | 24 | |
| Missouri | 41 | 72 | 58 | 171 | |
| Montana | 3 | 4 | 8 _ | 15 | |
| Nebraska | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 | |
| Nevada | 0 | 28 | 24 | 52 | |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | |
| New Jersey | 9 | 19 | 23 | 51 | |
| New Mexico | 0 | 10 | 37 | 47 | |
| New York | 36 | 51 | 119 | 206 | |
| North Carolina | 6 | 49 | 86 | 141 | |
| North Dakota | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | |
| Ohio | 2 | 31 | 44 | 77 | |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 6 | 10 | 16 | |
| Oregon | 4 | 10 | 34 | 48 | |
| Pennsylvania | 26 | 48 | 71 | 145 | |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | |
| South Carolina | 3 | 19 | 30 | 52 | |
| | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | |
| South Dakota | | | 194 | 294 | |
| Texas | 18 | 82 | | | |
| Utah | 0 | 5 | 8 | 13 | |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | - |
| Virginia | 6 | 31 | 78 | 115 | |
| Washington | 11 | 40 | 64 | 115 | |
| West Virginia | 0 | 9 | 11 | 20 | |
| Wisconsin | 2 | 28 | 41 | 71 | |
| Wyoming | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | |



Table 2 (cont'd)

| | | School Level | | | - |
|---|------------|--------------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| State | Elementary | Junior-High | Senior-High | Total | Data Caveats |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Guam | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 341 | 1,109 | 1,921 | 3,371 | |
| Number of states reporti | ng: | 54 | | | |
| Percent of expulsions re school level: | ported by | 96% | _ | | |

Data Notes:

MD = Missing Data

Tennessee did not provide GFSA expulsions by school level.



Table 3Number of students expelled for GFSA violations, by type of firearm, 1998-99

| | Ту | Type of Firearm | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|-------|---|--|
| State | Handgun | Rifle | Other | Total | Data Caveats | |
| Alabama | 62 | 24 | 88 | 174 | | |
| Alaska | 17 | 0 | 7 | 24 | | |
| Arizona | 70 | 2 | 29 | 101 | | |
| Arkansas | 53 | 7 | 6 | 66 | | |
| California | 209 | 10 | 71 | 290 | | |
| Colorado | 43 | 9 | 42 | 94 | The figures reported for 1998-99 include expulsions for ALL weapons, not just firearms. | |
| Connecticut | 7 | 0 | 4 | 11 | | |
| Delaware | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | | |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | | |
| Florida | 70 | 8 | 16 | 94 | | |
| Georgia | 131 | 13 | 64 | 208 | | |
| Hawaii | 1 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | | |
| Idaho | 11 | <u> </u> | 12 | 31 | | |
| | 74 | | 3 | 77 | | |
| Illinois | | 0 | | | | |
| Indiana | 70 | 15 | 18 | 103 | | |
| lowa | 6 | 5 | 6 | 17 | | |
| Kansas | 29 | 11 | 12 | 52 | | |
| Kentucky | 30 | 5 | 2 | 37 | | |
| Louisiana | 7 | 7 | 7 | 21 | | |
| Maine | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | | |
| Maryland | 30 | 1 | 0 | 31 | | |
| Massachusetts | 29 | MD | 14 | 43 | | |
| Michigan | 75 | 3 | 28 | 106 | | |
| Minnesota | 12 | 8 | 4 | 24 | | |
| Mississippi | 20 | 4 | 0 | 24 | | |
| Missouri | 25 | 12 | 134 | 171 | | |
| Montana | 8 | 3 | 4 | 15 | | |
| Nebraska | 6 | | 3 | 15 | | |
| | 43 | 4 | 5 | 52 | | |
| Nevada | | 7 | 0 | | | |
| New Hampshire | 4 | | 1 | 11 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| New Jersey | 27 | 0 | 24 | 51 | | |
| New Mexico | 36 | 11 | 0 | 47 | | |
| New York | 61 | 69 | 76 | 206 | | |
| North Carolina | 87 | 24 | 30 | 141 | | |
| North Dakota | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | | |
| Ohio | 42 | 7 | 28 | 77 | | |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 | | |
| Oregon | 20 | 12 | 16 | 48 | | |
| Pennsylvania | 58 | 15 | 72 | 145 | | |
| Rhode Island | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | | |
| South Carolina | 46 | 5 | 1 | 52 | | |
| South Dakota | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 | | |
| Texas | 219 | 50 | 25 | 294 | | |
| Utah | 8 | 1 | 4 | 13 | | |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | | |
| Virginia | 66 | MD | 49 | 115 | Virginia does not differentiate between handguns and rifles. | |
| Machinatas | 85 | 30 | MD | 115 | managana ana mies. | |
| Washington | | | + | | | |
| West Virginia | 11 | 2 | 7 | 20 | - | |
| Wisconsin | 28 | 11 | 32 | 71 | | |
| Wyoming | 7 | 1 | 3 | 11 | | |



Table 3 (cont'd)

| | Ту | pe of Fire | arm | | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| State | Handgun | Rifle | Other | Total | Data Caveats |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Guam | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 1,991 | 418 | 962 | 3,371 | |
| Number of states re | eporting: | 54 | | | |

Data Notes:

MD = Missing Data

Tennessee did not provide GFSA expulsions by type of firearm.



Table 4Total number of students expelled for GFSA violations, by state, 1997-98 to 1998-99

| | Year | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---|
| State | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | # Change | % Change | Data Caveats |
| Alabama | 82 | 174 | 92 | 112% | Due to a new electronic reporting system, careful definitions, and state-wide in-service training, the information reported for 1998-99 is more accurate than that reported in past years. |
| Alaska | 18 | 24 | 6 | 33% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Arizona | 111 | 101 | -10 | -9% | |
| Arkansas | 57 | 66 | 9 | 16% | |
| California | 384 | 290 | -94 | -24% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Colorado | 30 | 94 | 64 | 213% | The figures reported for 1997-98 and 1998-99 include expulsions for ALL weapons, not just firearms. Not all districts reported data for the 1997-98 school year. Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Connecticut | 9 | 11 | 2 | 22% | |
| Delaware | 7 | 9 | 2 | 29% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 13 | 9 | 225% | |
| Florida | 149 | 94 | -55 | -37% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Georgia | 203 | 208 | 5 | 2% | |
| Hawaii | 3 | 5 | 2 | 67% | |
| Idaho | 42 | 31 | -11 | -26% | |
| Illinois | 86 | 77 | -9 | -10% | |
| Indiana | 62 | 103 | 41 | 66% | |
| lowa | 30 | 17 | -13 | -43% | |
| Kansas | 33 | 52 | 19 | 58% | · |
| Kentucky | 72 | 37 | -35 | -49% | |
| Louisiana | 25 | 21 | -4 | -16% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Maine | 5 | 6 | 1 | 20% | |
| Maryland | 32 | 31 | -1 | -3% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Massachusetts | 46 | 43 | -3 | -7% | |
| Michigan | 99 | 106 | 7 | 7% | |
| Minnesota | 45 | 24 | -21 | -47% | |
| Mississippi | 47 | 24 | -23 | -49% | |
| Missouri | 179 | 171 | -8 | -4% | |
| Montana | 17 | 15 | -2 | -12% | |
| Nebraska | 11 | 15 | 4 | 36% | |
| Nevada | 36 | 52 | 16 | 44% | |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 11 | 6 | 120% | |
| New Jersey | 40 | 51 | 11 | 28% | |
| New Mexico | 32 | 47 | 15 | 47% | |
| New York | 91 | 206 | 115 | 126% | 1998-99 data represents all school districts in New York state. For 1997-98, the data included information submitted by 78% of the school districts (which included all five of the largest school districts). |
| North Carolina | 121 | 141 | 20 | 17% | • |
| North Dakota | 1 | 3 | 2 | 200% | |
| Ohio | 119 | 77 | -42 | -35% | |
| Oklahoma | 17 | 16 | -1 | -6% | · . |
| Oregon | 135 | 48 | -87 | -64% | |



Table 4 (cont'd)

| | Year | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--|
| State | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | # Change | % Change | Data Caveats |
| Pennsylvania | 121 | 145 | 24 | 20% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Rhode Island | 10 | 4 | -6 | -60% | |
| South Carolina | 85 | 52 | -33 | -39% | |
| South Dakota | 26 | 9 | -17 | -65% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Tennessee | 192 | 152 | -40 | -21% | |
| Texas | 424 | 294 | -130 | -31% | |
| Utah | 9 | 13 | 4 | 44% | |
| Vermont | 5 | 3 | -2 | -40% | |
| Virginia | 99 | 115 | 16 | 16% | |
| Washington | 118 | 115 | -3 | -3% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| West Virginia | 17 | 20 | 3 | 18% | |
| Wisconsin | 66 | 71 | 5 | 8% | |
| Wyoming | 0 | 11 | 11 | | |
| Puerto Rico | 1 | | | | |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | _ |
| Guam | 0 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | Data for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process. |
| Total | 3.658 | 3,523 | -135 | -4% | |
| Number of states repo | -, | 55 | ,,,, | 70 | |

Data Notes:



Table 5Number and percent of expulsions for GFSA violations shortened on a case-by-case basis, 1998-99

| State | Total expulsions | Total number shortened | Overall percent shortened |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Alabama | 174 | 3 | 2% |
| Alaska | 24 | 5 | 21% |
| Arizona | 101 | 19 | 19% |
| Arkansas | 66 | 11 | 17% |
| California | 290 | 40 | 14% |
| Connecticut | 11 | 2 | 18% |
| Delaware | 9 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 7 | 54% |
| Florida | 94 | 3 | 3% |
| Georgia | 208 | 62 | 30% |
| Hawaii | 5 | 2 | 40% |
| Idaho | 31 | 15 | 48% |
| Illinois | 77 | 7 | 9% |
| Indiana | 103 | 52 | 50% |
| lowa | 17 | 8 | 47% |
| Kansas | 52 | 15 | 29% |
| Kentucky | 37 | 9 | 24% |
| Louisiana | 21 | 0 | 0% |
| Maine | 6 | 2 | 33% |
| Maryland | 31 | 24 | 77% |
| Massachusetts | 43 | 26 | 60% |
| Michigan | 106 | 1 | 1% |
| Minnesota | 24 | 9 | 38% |
| Mississippi | 24 | 1 | 4% |
| Missouri | 171 | 21 | 12% |
| Montana | 15 | 0 | 0% |
| Nebraska | 15 | 10 | 67% |
| Nevada | 52 | 3 | 6% |
| New Hampshire | 11 | 7 | 64% |
| New Jersey | 51 | 8 | 16% |
| New Mexico | 47 | 7 | 15% |
| New York | 206 | 65 | 32% |
| North Carolina | 141 | 66 | 47% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Ohio | 77 | 35 | 45% |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 2 | 13% |
| Oregon | 48 | 21 | 44% |
| Pennsylvania | 145 | 109 | 75% |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| South Carolina | 52 | 8 | 15% |
| South Dakota | 9 | 5 | 56% |
| Texas | 294 | 102 | 35% |
| Vermont | 3 | 2 | 67% |
| Washington | 115 | 35 | 30% |
| West Virginia | 20 | 1 | 5% |
| Wisconsin | 71 | 17 | 24% |
| | | | 9% |
| Wyoming | 11 | 1 | <u> </u> |



| State | Total expulsions | Total number shortened | Overall percent shortened |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 5 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 3,149 | 855 | 27% |
| Number of states repo | orting: | 51 | |

Data Notes:

The GFSA has provisions in place that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program.

Four states - Colorado, Tennessee, Utah, and Virginia - did not provide information on the number of GFSA violations shortened on a case-by-case basis.

Puerto Rico did not submit 1998-99 GFSA data.



Gun-Free Schools Act – 1998-99 Report

Table 6Number and percent of expulsions for GFSA violations shortened for non-disabled students on a case-by-case basis, 1998-99

| State | Total number shortened | Number non- disabled shortened | Percentage non-disabled shortened |
|----------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| Alabama | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Alaska | 5 | 5 | 100% |
| Arizona | 19 | 16 | 84% |
| Arkansas | 11 | 9 | 82% |
| California | 40 | 33 | 83% |
| Connecticut | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| Delaware | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 3 | 2 | 67% |
| Georgia | 62 | 38 | 61% |
| Hawaii | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| Idaho | 15 | 9 | 60% |
| Illinois | 7 | 7 | 100% |
| Indiana | 52 | 42 | 81% |
| lowa | 8 | 7 | 88% |
| Kansas | 15 | 12 | 80% |
| Kentucky | 9 | 5 | 56% |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Maine | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 24 | 17 | 71% |
| Massachusetts | 26 | 17 | 65% |
| Michigan | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| Minnesota | 9 | 9 | 100% |
| Mississippi | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Missouri | 21 | 10 | 48% |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Nebraska | 10 | 9 | 90% |
| Nevada | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| New Hampshire | 7 | 4 | 57% |
| New Jersey | 8 | 2 | 25% |
| New Mexico | 7 | 5 | 71% |
| New York | 65 | 47 | 72% |
| North Carolina | 66 | 46 | 70% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Ohio | 35 | 23 | 66% |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| Oregon | 21 | 12 | 57% |
| Pennsylvania | 109 | 92 | 84% |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| South Carolina | 8 | 7 | 88% |
| South Dakota | 5 | 5 | 100% |
| Texas | 102 | 78 | 76% |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 50% |
| Washington | 35 | 22 | 63% |
| West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Wisconsin | 17 | 8 | 47% |
| Wyoming | 1 | 1 | 100% |



| State | Total number shortened | Number non- disabled shortened | Percentage non-disabled shortened |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 855 | 613 | 72% |
| Number of states reporting: | | 51 | |

Data Notes:

Four states - Colorado, Tennessee, Utah, and Virginia - did not provide information on the number of expulsions for GFSA violations shortened for non-disabled students on a case-by-case basis.



Table 7Percentage of students expelled for GFSA violations referred to an alternative placement by state, 1998-99

| State | Total expulsions | Referred | Percent referred |
|----------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|
| Alabama | 174 | 13 | 7% |
| Alaska | 24 | 22 | 92% |
| Arizona | 101 | 44 | 44% |
| Arkansas | 66 | 21 | 32% |
| California | 290 | 244 | 84% |
| Connecticut | 11 | 11 | 100% |
| Delaware | 9 | 4 | 44% |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 13 | 100% |
| Florida | 94 | 54 | 57% |
| Georgia | 208 | 104 | 50% |
| Hawaii | 5 | 5 | 100% |
| ldaho | 31 | 17 | 55% |
| Illinois | 77 | 58 | 75% |
| Indiana | 103 | 24 | 23% |
| lowa | 17 | 4 | 24% |
| Kansas | 52 | 22 | 42% |
| Kentucky | 37 | 21 | 57% |
| Louisiana | 21 | 1 | 5% |
| Maine | 6 | 1 | 17% |
| Maryland | 31 | 12 | 39% |
| Massachusetts | 43 | 29 | 67% |
| Minnesota | 24 | 24 | 100% |
| Mississippi | 24 | 0 | 0% |
| Missouri | 171 | 31 | 18% |
| Nebraska | 15 | 9 | 60% |
| Nevada | 52 | 47 | 90% |
| New Hampshire | 11 | 2 | 18% |
| New Jersey | 51 | 32 | 63% |
| New Mexico | 47 | 12 | 26% |
| New York | 206 | 69 | 33% |
| North Carolina | 141 | 7 | _ 5% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| Ohio | 77 | 11 | 14% |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 4 | 25% |
| Oregon | 48 | 29 | 60% |
| Pennsylvania | 145 | 37 | 26% |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| South Carolina | 52 | 16 | 31% |
| South Dakota | 9 | 2 | 22% |
| Tennessee | 152 | 66 | 43% |
| Texas | 294 | 225 | 77% |
| Vermont | 3 | 1 | 33% |
| Washington | 115 | 20 | 17% |
| West Virginia | 20 | 1 | |
| Wyoming | 11 | 4 | 36% |



| State | Total expulsions | Referred | Percent referred |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 5 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 3,109 | 1,373 | 44% |
| Number of states reporting: | | 49 | |

Data Notes:

The GFSA has provisions in place that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program.

Six states - Colorado, Michigan, Montana, Utah, Virginia, and Wisconsin - did not provide information on the number of GFSA violations that were referred to an alternate placement.

Puerto Rico did not submit 1998-99 GFSA data.



Gun-Free Schools Act - 1998-99 Report

Alabama

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 4 | 12 | 36 | 52 |
| Junior High | 33 | 2 | 34 | 69 |
| Senior High | 25 | 10 | 18 | 53 |
| Total | 62 | 24 | 88 | 174 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 3 | 2% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 3 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 13 | 7% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 82 | 174 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 92 |
| Percent Change | | 112% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Due to a new electronic reporting system, careful definitions, and state-wide in-service training, the information reported for 1998-99 is more accurate than that reported in past years.



Alaska

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Senior High | 13 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| Total | 17 | 0 | 7 | 24 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 5 | 21% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 5 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 22 | 92% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 18 | 24 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 6 |
| Percent Change | | 33% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Arizona

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| | | D:floo/ | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 0 | 9 | 15 |
| Junior High | 23 | 1 | 13 | 37 |
| Senior High | 41 | 1 | 7 | 49 |
| Total | 70 | 2 | 29 | 101 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 19 | 19% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 16 | 84% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 44 | 44% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 111 | 101 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -10 |
| Percent Change | | -9% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Arkansas

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Junior High | 25 | 2 | 3 | 30 |
| Senior High | 25 | 5 | 1 | 31 |
| Total | 53 | 7 | 6 | 66 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 11 | 17% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 9 | 82% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 21 | 32% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 57 | 66 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 9 |
| Percent Change | | 16% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



California

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 14 | 2 | 13 | 29 |
| Junior High | 68 | 0 | 25 | 93 |
| Senior High | 127 | 8 | 33 | 168 |
| Total | 209 | 10 | 71 | 290 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 40 | 14% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 33 | 82% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 244 | 84% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 384 | 290 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -94 | |
| Percent Change | | -24% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Gun-Free Schools Act – 1998-99 Report

Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) Colorado

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 12 | 17 |
| Junior High | 18 | 0 | 16 | 34 |
| Senior High | 20 | 9 | 14 | 43 |
| Total | 43 | 9 | 42 | 94 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | MD | |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | MD | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 30 | 94 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 64 |
| Percent Change | | 213% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: The figures reported for 1997-98 and 1998-99 include expulsions for ALL weapons, not just firearms. Not all districts reported data for the 1997-98 school year. In addition, data shown here for 1997-98 were revised resulting from the 1998-99 verification process.

Note: MD = Missing Data



Gun-Free Schools Act – 1998-99 Report

Connecticut

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 6 | 0 | 1 . | . 7 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Total | 7 | 0 | 4 | 11 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 2 | 18% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 11 | 100% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 11 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 2 | |
| Percent Change | | 22% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Delaware

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Senior High | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent | |
|-----|--|--------|---------|--|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% | |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled | 0 | 0% | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 4 | 44% | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 7 | 9 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 2 |
| Percent Change | | 29% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) District of Columbia

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Senior High | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 7 | 54% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 13 | 100% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 4 | 13 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 9 |
| Percent Change | | 225% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Florida

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Junior High | 28 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| Senior High | 40 | 7 | 10 | 57 |
| Total | 70 | 8 | 16 | 94 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 3 | 3% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled | 2 | 67% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 54 | 57% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 149 | 94 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -55 |
| Percent Change | | -37% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Gun-Free Schools Act - 1998-99 Report

Georgia

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| | Rifles/ | | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 9 | 0 | 9 | 18 |
| Junior High | 57 | 2 | 21 | 80 |
| Senior High | 65 | 11 | 34 | 110 |
| Total | 131 | 13 | 64 | 208 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 62 | 30% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled | 38 | 61% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 104 | 50% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 203 | 208 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 5 |
| Percent Change | | 2% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Hawaii

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 2 | 40% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 5 | 100% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 3 | 5 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 2 |
| Percent Change | | 67% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Idaho

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Senior High | 5 | 8 | 11 | 24 |
| Total | 11 | 8 | 12 | 31 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 15 | 48% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 9 | 60% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 17 | 55% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 42 | 31 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -11 |
| Percent Change | | -26% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Illinois

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Junior High | 22 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| Senior High | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Total | 74 | 0 | 3 | 77 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 7 | 9% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 7 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 58 | 75% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 86 | 77 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -9 |
| Percent Change | -10% | |



Indiana

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Junior High | 13 | 6 | 5 | 24 |
| Senior High | 51 | 8 | 12 | 71 |
| Total | 70 | 15 | 18 | 103 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 52 | 50% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 42 | 81% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 24 | 23% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 62 | 103 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 41 | |
| Percent Change | | 66% |



Iowa

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Senior High | 6 | 4 | 5 | 15 |
| Total | 6 | 5 | 6 | 17 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | - 8 | 47% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 7 | 88% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 4 | 24% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | MD | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 30 | 17 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -13 |
| Percent Change | | -43% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Kansas

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Junior High | 10 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| Senior High | 12 | 11 | 8 | 31 |
| Total | 29 | 11 | 12 | 52 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 15 | 29% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 12 | 80% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 22 | 42% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 33 | 52 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 19 | |
| Percent Change | | 58% |



Kentucky

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 10 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Senior High | 18 | 5 | 1 | 24 |
| Total | 30 | 5 | 2 | 37 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 9 | 24% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 5 | 56% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 21 | 57% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 72 | 37 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -35 |
| Percent Change | | -49% |



Louisiana

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | MD | MD | MD | MD |
| Junior High | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Senior High | 2 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| Total | 7 | 7 | 7 | 21 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 1 | 7% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 25 | 21 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -4 |
| Percent Change | | -16% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Maine

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 2 | 33% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 1 | 17% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 5 | 6 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 1 |
| Percent Change | | 20% |



Maryland

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Senior High | 25 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
| Total | 30 | 1 | 0 | 31 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 24 | 77% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 17 | . 71% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 12 | 39% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 32 | 31 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -1 |
| Percent Change | | -3% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Massachusetts

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | MD | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 9 | MD | 6 | 15 |
| Senior High | 20 | MD | 8 | 28 |
| Total | 29 | MD | 14 | 43 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 26 | 60% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 17 | 65% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 29 | 67% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 46 | 43 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -3 |
| Percent Change | | -7% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) Michigan

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Junior High | 29 | 0 | 10 | 39 |
| Senior High | 44 | 3 | 16 | 63 |
| Total | 75 | 3 | 28 | 106 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 1 | 1% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 1 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 99 | 106 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 7 | |
| Percent Change | | 7% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Minnesota

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Senior High | 9 | 6 | 3 | 18 |
| Total | 12 | 8 | 4 | 24 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 9 | 37% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 9 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 24 | 100% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 45 | 24 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -21 |
| Percent Change | | -46% |



Mississippi

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Senior High | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 20 | 4 | 0 | 24 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 1 | 4% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 47 | 24 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -23 |
| Percent Change | | -49% |



Missouri

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 39 | 41 |
| Junior High | 12 | 0 | 60 | 72 |
| Senior High | 11 | 12 | 35 | 58 |
| Total | 25 | 12 | 134 | 171 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 21 | 12% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 10 | 48% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 31 | 18% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 179 | 171 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -8 |
| Percent Change | | -4% |



Montana

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Junior High | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Senior High | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Total | 8 | 3 | 4 | 15 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 17 | 15 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -2 | |
| Percent Change | | -12% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Nebraska

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Senior High | 4 | 6 | 1 | 11 |
| Total | 6 | 6 | 3 | 15 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 10 | 67% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 9 | 90% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 9 | 60% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 11 | 15 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 4 | |
| Percent Change | 36% | |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: The larger totals in 1998-99 appear to be the result of a more accurate reporting method rather than an actual increase in weapons being brought to the school.



Nevada

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 23 | 0 | 5 | 28 |
| Senior High | 20 | 4 | 0 | 24 |
| Total | 43 | 4 | 5 | 52 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 3 | 6% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 47 | 90% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 36 | 52 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 16 | |
| Percent Change | | 44% |



New Hampshire

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 7 | 64% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 4 | 57% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 2 | 18% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 5 | 11 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 6 |
| Percent Change | | 120% |



New Jersey

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a oneyear expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| Junior High | 6 | 0 | 13 | 19 |
| Senior High | 14 | 0 | 9 | 23 |
| Total | 27 | 0 | 24 | 51 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 8 | 16% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 2 | 25% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 32 | 63% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 40 | 51 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 11 | |
| Percent Change | - | 28% |



New Mexico

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Senior High | 27 | 10 | 0 | 37 |
| Total | 36 | 11 | 0 | 47 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 7 | 15% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 5 · | 71% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 12 | 26% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 32 | 47 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | , 15 |
| Percent Change | | 47% |



New York

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| | | Rifles/ | _ | |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 6 | 17 | 13 | 36 |
| Junior High | 23 | 15 | 13 | 51 |
| Senior High | 32 | 37 | 50 | 119 |
| Total | 61 | 69 | 76 | 206 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 65 | 32% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 47 | 72% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 69 | 33% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 91 | 206 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 115 |
| Percent Change | | 126% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: 1998-99 data represents all school districts in New York state. For 1997-98, the data, included information submitted by 78% of the school districts including all 5 of the largest school districts.



North Carolina

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Junior High | 30 | 0 | 19 | 49 |
| Senior High | 53 | . 24 | 9 | 86 |
| Total | 87 | . 24 | 30 . | 141 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 66 | 47% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 46 | 70% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 7 | 5% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 13 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 121 | 141 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 20 |
| Percent Change | | 17% |



North Dakota

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| • | . | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Junior High | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 3 | 100% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 3 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | 3 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 2 | |
| Percent Change | | 200% |



Ohio

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| <u> </u> | | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Junior High | 14 | 1 | 16 | 31 |
| Senior High | 26 | 6 | 12 | 44 |
| Total | 42 | 7 | 28 | 77 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 35 | 45% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 23 | 66% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 11 | 14% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 119 | 77 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -42 |
| Percent Change | | -35% |



Oklahoma

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Senior High | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| Total | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 2 | 13% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 2 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 4 | 25% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 17 | 16 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -1 | |
| Percent Change | | -6% |



Oregon

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Senior High | 14 | 12 | 8 | 34 |
| Total | 20 | 12 | 16 | 48 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 21 | 44% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 12 | 57% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 29 | 60% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 135 | 48 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -87 |
| Percent Change | | -64% |



Pennsylvania

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 9 | 0 | 17 | 26 |
| Junior High | 27 | 1 | 20 | 48 |
| Senior High | 22 | 14 | 35 | 71 |
| Total | 58 | 15 | 72 | 145 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 109 | 75% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 92 | 84% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 37 | 26% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 121 | 145 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 24 |
| Percent Change | , | 20% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Rhode Island

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 4 | 100% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 4 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 10 | 4 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -6 | |
| Percent Change | | -60% |



South Carolina

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 3 | Ó | 0 | 3 |
| Junior High | 18 | 0. | 1 | 19 |
| Senior High | 25 | 5 | 0 | 30 |
| Total | 46 | 5 | 1 | 52 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 8 | 15% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 7 | 88% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 16 | 31% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 85 | 52 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -33 | |
| Percent Change | | -39% |



Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) South Dakota

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Senior High | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 5 | 56% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 5 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 2 | 22% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 17 | 9 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -8 |
| Percent Change | | -47% |



Tennessee

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | MD | MD | MD | MD |
| Junior High | MD | MD | MD | MD |
| Senior High | MD | MD | MD | MD |
| Total | MD | MD | MD | 152 |

| Question: | | Number | Percent |
|---|---|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | MD | |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | MD | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 66 | 43% |
| 5. Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | | 0 | |
| | | | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 192 | 152 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | -40 | |
| Percent Change | | -21% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: The 1997-98 and 1998-99 information was submitted as an aggregate figure only. It was not broken out by type of firearm or by school level.



Texas

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 17 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Junior High | 74 | 0 | . 8 | 82 |
| Senior High | 128 | 50 | 16 | 194 |
| Total | 219 | 50 | 25 | 294 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 102 | 35% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 78 | 76% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 225 | 77% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 424 | 294 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -130 |
| Percent Change | | -31% |



1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Senior High | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 4 | 13 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | MD | |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | MD | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 9 | 13 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 4 | |
| Percent Change | | 44% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Vermont

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 2 | 67% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 1 | 50% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 1 | 33% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 5 | 3 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -2 |
| Percent Change | | -40% |



Virginia

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| | | | <u> </u> | |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary | 5 | MD | 1 | 6 |
| Junior High | 15 | MD | 16 | 31 |
| Senior High | 46 | MD | 32 | 78 |
| Total | 66 | MD | 49 | 115 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|-------------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | MD | •• |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | MD | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 99 | 115 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 16 | |
| Percent Change | | 16% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Virginia does not differentiate between handguns and rifles.



Washington

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 10 | 1 | MD | 11 |
| Junior High | 32 | 8 | MD | 40 |
| Senior High | 43 | 21 | MD | 64 |
| Total | 85 | 30 | MD | 115 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 35 | 30% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 22 | 63% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 20 | 17% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 118 | 115 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | -3 |
| Percent Change | | -3% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



West Virginia

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| Senior High | 6 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Total | 11 | 2 | 7 | 20 |

| Qu | estion: | Number | Percent |
|----|--|--------|---------------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 1 | 5% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled | 0 | . 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 1 | 5% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | . |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 17 | 20 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 3 | |
| Percent Change | | 18% |



Wisconsin

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Junior High | 9 | 3 | 16 | 28 |
| Senior High | 19 | 8 | 14 | 41 |
| Total | 28 | 11 | 32 | 71 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 17 | 24% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 8 | 47% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | MD | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 66 | 71 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 5 |
| Percent Change | | 8% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.

Note: MD = Missing Data



Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) Wyoming

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Senior High | 7 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | 7 | 1 | 3 | 11 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 1 | 9% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 1 | 100% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 4 | 36% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 11 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 11 |
| Percent Change | | |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Puerto Rico

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | | | | |
| Junior High | | | | |
| Senior High | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | | |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | | |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | | |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison –1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 1 | |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | |
| Percent Change | _ | |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Puerto Rico did not submit 1998-99 GFSA data.



American Samoa

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | 0 | |
| Percent Change | | 0% |

* Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Guam

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Senior High | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

| Ques | stion: | Number | Percent |
|------|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| _ | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 5 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 5 |
| Percent Change | | |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.



Northern Marianas

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Que | estion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|---|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | .Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | MD | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | . 0 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 0 |
| Percent Change | | 0% |

★ Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: None.

Note: MD = Missing Data



Virgin Islands

1998-99 Data

Question 1. Number of students expelled under state law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school.

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/ Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Junior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Que | stion: | Number | Percent |
|-----|--|--------|---------|
| 2. | Number of shortened expulsions | 0 | 0% |
| 3. | Number in #2 (above) that were <u>not</u> disabled | 0 | 0% |
| 4. | Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program | 0 | 0% |
| 5. | Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance | 0 | |

Year-to-Year Data Comparison -1997-98 to 1998-99

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total number of expulsions | 0 | 0 |
| Change (1997-98 to 1998-99) | | 0 |
| Percent Change | | 0% |

[★] Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument: Data shown here for 1997-98 were revised as a result of the 1998-99 verification process.



Appendix A – The Gun-Free Schools Act





Public Law 103-882 – Oct. 20, 1994

108 STAT. 3907

Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994

20 USC 8921.

"PART F – GUN POSSESSION

"Sec. 14601. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS

'(a) SHORT TITLE. - This section may be cited as the 'Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994'.

"(b) REQUIREMENTS. -

(1) IN GENERAL. - Except as provided in paragraph (3), each State receiving Federal funds under this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student who is determined to have brought a weapon to a school under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of such local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis.

(2) CONSTRUCTION. - Nothing in this title shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student's regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.

"(3) SPECIAL RULE. - (A) Any State that has a law in effect prior to the date of enactment of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 which is in conflict with the not less than one year expulsion requirement described in paragraph (1) shall have the period of time described in subparagraph (B) to comply with such requirement.

"(B) The period of time shall be the period beginning on the date of enactment of the Improving America's Schools Act and ending one year after such date.

'(4) DEFINITION. - For the purpose of this section, the term 'weapon' means a firearm as such term is defined in section 921 of title 18, United States Code.

"(c) SPECIAL RULE. - The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

"(d) REPORT TO STATE. - Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under this Act shall provide to the States, in the application requesting such assistance -

(1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and

(2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including –

"(A) the name of the school concerned;

"(B) the number of students expelled form such school; and

"(C) the type of weapons concerned.

- "(e) REPORTING. Each State shall report the information described in subsection (c) to the Secretary on an annual basis.
- '(f) REPORT TO CONGRESS. Two years after the date of enactment of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994, the Secretary shall report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the requirements of this title.

"SEC. 14602. POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL.

"(a) IN GENERAL. - No funds shall be made available under this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school served by such agency.

"(b) DEFINITIONS. - For the purpose of this section, the terms 'firearm' and 'school' have the same meaning given to such terms by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.

"SEC. 14603. DATA AND POLICY DISSEMINATION UNDER IDEA

"The Secretary shall -

- "(1) widely disseminate the policy of the Department in effect on the date of enactment of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 with respect to disciplining children with disabilities:
- (2) collect data on the incidence of children with disabilities (as such term is defined in section 602(a)(1) of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act) engaging in life threatening behavior or bringing weapons to schools; and
- (3) submit a report to Congress not later than January 31, 1995, analyzing the strengths and problems with the current approaches regarding disciplining children with disabilities.







ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), as amended by the IMPROVING AMERICA'S SCHOOLS ACT OF 1994 (IASA), TITLE XIV, PART F

FORM APPROVED OMB #1810-0602

Expiration Date: 4/30/00

GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0602. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6123.

RESPONDENT INFORMATION

| State Name: |
|--|
| Name of Agency Responding: |
| Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report: |
| |
| |
| Mailing Address: |
| |
| |
| |
| Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report: |
| Phone: () Fax: () |



Form Approved: OMB 1810-0602: Expiration Date: 4/30/00

GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

INTRODUCTION

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA), Part F of Title XIV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a weapon to school. In addition, under the GFSA, LEAs receiving ESEA funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school.

Each State's law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. The GFSA also states that nothing in the GFSA shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student's regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The GFSA also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act's requirements. The Secretary is required to report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the GFSA.

PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GFSA.

GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT

- 1. The time period covered by this report is the 1998-99 school year.
- 2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is "0" or "none," be sure to enter "0" or "none." If information is not available or not applicable, please indicate by using the following abbreviations:

MD = Missing Data

NA = Not Available

- 3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.
- 4. Please complete the attached form and mail no later than December 1, 1998 to:

Westat 1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1216 Rockville, MD 20850

If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program at (202) 260-3954 for clarification.



Form Approved: OMB 1810-0602: Expiration Date: 4/30/00

ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

LEA Local educational agency

GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act

IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act

Elementary school

A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.

Junior high school

A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.

Senior high school

A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.

Other firearms

Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition:

- -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;
- -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;
- -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;
- -- any destructive device, which includes:
- (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas
 - (1). bomb;
 - (2). grenade,
 - (3). rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces,
 - (4). missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce,
 - (5). mine, or
 - (6). similar device
- (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter
- (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled.



FIREARMS EXPULSIONS

1. Please indicate the number of students expelled in your State under your State's law that requires a one-year expulsion for a student who brings a firearm to school. [Do not include in your response to this question students who have brought a firearm to school but who have not been expelled, whether because of disability, an intervening court order, delays in the process, or any other reason.]

| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| Elementary School | | | | |
| Junior High School | | | | |
| Senior High School | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

| 2. | How many of the expulsions reported in item #1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 14601(b)(1) of the GFSA? [Do not include in your response to this question modifications under the case-by-case exception provision of Section 14601(b)(1) other than those that shorten the term of the expulsion to less than one year.] | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| | Number of Shortened Expulsions: | | |
| 3. | How many of the modifications reported in item #2 were for students who are <u>not</u> students with disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA? | | |
| | Number of shortened expulsions | | |

[The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student's individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student's parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school was a manifestation of the student's disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school was not a manifestation of the student's disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards



Form Approved: OMB 1810-0602: Expiration Date: 4/30/00

in #2, NOT disabled:

before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term "children with disabilities" is defined as:

children --

- (i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and
- (ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.]

| 4. | How many of the expulsions reported in item #1 resulted in a referral of the expelled student to alternative school or program? | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Number of expelled students in #1 referred to an alternative placement: | | | | | |

LEA COMPLIANCE

| em.) | | • | | |
|------|-------------|---|----------|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | _ |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



Form Approved: OMB 1810-0602: Expiration Date: 4/30/00

| - | n.) | | |
|---------------|-----|-------|------|
| | | | |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| · | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |






U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis



EFF-089 (3/2000)

